

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 1 6 2005

December 16, 2005

Examiner Matthew D. Hoel Art Unit 3713 USPTO Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 fax 571 273 8300 3 pages total

Subject: Applicant Interview Request Form re application 10/043,071

Dear Examiner Hoel,

Attached please find an Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form and summary of interview under 37 CFR 1.33b for the above referenced application. The interview was telephonic and was held on December 2, 2005 from 3 pm to 4 pm Eastern Time.

If you are in agreement with the summary, please countersign and return a copy for my records.

Sincerely,

Mark Nowotarski Reg. No. 47,828 Agent of Record

Dec 16 05 02:00p

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Mark Nowotarski DEC 1 6 2005 203 973 0010

p.2

PTCL-41 3A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-003 1
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form							
Application No.:10/0		First Named Applic					
Examiner: Matthew	D. Hoel	Art Unit: 3713		lication: Pendi	08		
Tentative Participa (1) Mark Nowotars	nts: ki (agent)	(2)			··B		
(3) Matthew D. Hoel (examiner) (4) Corbett Coburn (Sr. examiner)							
Proposed Date of Interview: December 2, 2005 Proposed Time: 3:00 PM (AM/PM)							
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [x] Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [x] NO If yes, provide brief description:							
Issues To Be Discussed							
Issues	Claims/		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed		
(Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Prior Art (1) Confirm that Examiner's proposed amendment to claim 42 would overcome all rejections of 10/20/05 office action. [x] [x]							
(2) Discussion of whether or not Examiner's proposed amendment would render claim 42 allowable in light of prior art newly discovered by Examiner [x] [x]							
[x] Continuation Sheet			<u></u>	1	[x]		
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: See Attached Sheet							
Summary of Interview under 37 CFR 1.133(b):							
An interview was cond that if claim 42 were ar the final office action of be allowable over art nudiscussed. Examiner is	nended as per the following th	te Examiner's suggest: reement was not reach by the Examiner The ranslation of other non	ion of 11/8/05, it w ed on whether or n e prior art WO 02/4 -English prior art	ould overcome ot said amende 47010 A1 was:	the rejections of d claim 42 would specifically		
NOTE: This form sho (see MPEP § 713.01).	uld be completed	d by applicant and sub	mitted to the exami	ner in advance o	of the interview		
This application will not interview. Therefore, ap as soon as possible.	plicant is advise	d to file a statement of	cant's failure to sub the substance of this	mit a written ros interview (37)	ecord of this CFR 1.133(b))		
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature							
Typed/Printed Name of Mark Nowotarsk		presentative					
Registration Number, if 47,828	applicable						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Potent and trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (cont)						
Application No.: 10/043,071	First Named Applic	eant: Groz				
Examiner: Matthew D. Hoel	Art Unit: 3713	Status of Application: Pending				

Items to be discussed.

Applicant would like confirmation that if claim 42 is amended per Examiner's suggestion of 11/8/05, that said claim would be help allowable over the rejections of the office action of 10/20/05. Said amended claim is:

- 42. (Amended): A method for increasing the expected return of a game, said method comprising:
 - a) offering to sell tokens to a plurality of players to participate in said game, each of said tokens having a price and a designated residual value;
 - receiving financial consideration from said players, said financial consideration being equal to the number of said tokens purchased by each of said players times said price of said tokens;
 - c) allocating a first portion of said financial consideration to a prize pool, said first portion being greater than zero, said prize pool to be distributed among winners of said game;
 - d) conducting said game such that there is an outcome of said game wherein said outcome may
 comprise the designation of a portion of said tokens as winning tokens;
 - e) awarding said prize pool to the owners of said winning tokens if said outcome comprises said designation of winning tokens;
 - f) allocating a second portion of said financial consideration to purchase assets, said assets having a positive expected return over a period of time such that the expected value of said assets at the end of said period of time is greater than or equal to said financial consideration less said prize pool;
 - g) purchasing said assets with said second portion of said financial consideration;
 - h) assigning said assets to said tokens, said assignment to each token being in proportion to price of each of said token times the residual value of each of said tokens;
 - committing to provide the eash-current market value of said assets at the end of said period of time to the owners of said tokens.